

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF SOMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,265	02/26/2002	Kenneth J. Krauss	87356.2180	6422
30734 7:	590 09/03/2004		EXAM	INER
BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			RIDLEY, RICHARD	
		100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	

**DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/082,265	KRAUSS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard Ridley	3651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. h the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 August 2004.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/s  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applicat priority documents have been receiv ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the request for a Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 8-17-04. The request for a RCE is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sackett '669 in view of Lovette '261 and further in view of Huth '684 and further in view of Clancy '852 and further in view of Stock '518.

Sackett discloses all of the claim limitations in a similar feeding device, the device comprising a(n):

- Conveyor belt (2)
- ➤ Material inlet (1)
- Movable plate (fig. 1) having a hinged end and a free end pivotally mounted
- ➤ Hinge (fig. 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/082,265

Art Unit: 3651

¢

> Fixed plate (fig. 1)

> Power actuator or means for urging (4)

Sackett does not disclose the use of a power actuator that is an air cylinder.

Lovette teaches the use of a power actuator (32) that is an air cylinder for the purpose of providing for a means to control the degree of opening and closing of a gate at the input of a conveyor belt (C3/L40-59).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a power actuator that is an air cylinder, as taught by

Lovette, in the device of Sackett for the purpose of providing for a means to control the degree of opening and closing of a gate at the input of a conveyor belt.

Additionally, Sackett does not disclose the material inlet having a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length.

In a weighing device similar to Sackett, Huth '684 teaches an arrangement where the material inlet has a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed either a shorter belt such that the material inlet would have a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length, or a wider material inlet such that the material inlet would have a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length since such an arrangement would have been

Art Unit: 3651

merely a matter of design choice, such an arrangement having been arrived at and taught by

Huth, and since the applicant has not disclosed that such an arrangement solves any stated

problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally
well with such an arrangement as shown by Huth.

Sackett does not disclose the use of a pair of side skirts or a rear end skirt.

Re clm 9, Clancy '852 teaches the use of a pair of side skirts for the purpose of providing for a means to guide the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the belt sides.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a pair of side skirts, as taught by Clancy, in the device of Sackett for the purpose of providing for a means to guide the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the belt sides.

Re clm 10, Stock '518 teaches the use of a rear end skirt for the purpose of providing for a means to control the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the rear backside of the belt.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the a rear end skirt, as taught by Stock, in the device of Sackett for the purpose of providing for a means to guide the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the backside of the belt.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

#### Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Richard Ridley Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley
1 Sept 2004